



Shri Amarjit Singh,
S/o Shri Balvir Singh,
R/o VPO Karnana,
Tehsil Nawanshehar, Distt. SBS Nagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 645 of 2021

Present:- Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
ASI Mangal Singh-18/Tarn Taran is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran.

O R D E R

Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 27.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant through letter dated 15.09.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.2459-60 PRTI dated 15.09.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which point-wise information/reply has been sent to the applicant by the SPIO.

Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant is satisfied with the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Amarjit Singh,
S/o Shri Balvir Singh,
R/o VPO Karnana,
Tehsil Nawanshehar, Distt. SBS Nagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 650 of 2021

Present:- Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
ASI Tarsem Lal-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Senior
Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.641 dated 12.04.2021. Not satisfied with the reply the applicant filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 27.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today. The complainant/applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO and he further requested that the sought for information be provided to him.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

The complainant has filed complaint and he stated that he has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to him by the SPIO

Complaint Case No. 650 of 2021

and he requested that he has filed complaint just to get the information and now he requires the information.

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed of** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

(Regd.) : Copy to : Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

– for taking further necessary action.



Shri Amarjit Singh,
S/o Shri Balvir Singh,
R/o VPO Karnana,
Tehsil Nawanshehar, Distt. SBS Nagar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 657 of 2021

Present:- Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
ASI Surinder Mohan is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar. A reply was sent to him by the SPIO vide letter no.45-D dated 19.02.2021. Not satisfied with the reply the applicant filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 27.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant through letter no.91704 dated 06.09.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 10.09.2021, diarized vide no.20501, which is a copy of letter no.92646 dated 09.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no. 91704 dated 06.09.2021. I have gone through the said letter vide which the SPIO has sent the sought for information to the applicant.

Shri Amarjit Singh, complainant/applicant stated that he has received the information and he is satisfied and also requested to close the case.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant is satisfied with the information, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Smt. Kailash Rani,
Science Mistress,
H.No. 3176, Sector 19-D,
Chandigarh.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Director (SE), (Admn. 4),
Punjab School Education Board Complex,
Sector 62, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 673 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant is absent.
Dr. Kulwinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali is present.

ORDER

Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Director (SE), (Admn. 4), Punjab School Education Board Complex, Sector 62, SAS Nagar, Mohali. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent SPIO is present and she stated that the information cannot be provided to the applicant as the matter is sub-judice before the Hon'ble Court and reply regarding this has already been sent to the applicant vide letter dated 20.05.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 06.09.2021, diarized vide no.20061, which is a copy of letter dated 01.09.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 20.05.2021. I have gone through the said letters.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

Complaint Case No. 673 of 2021

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

The complainant has filed complaint and she stated that she has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to her by the SPIO and requested that she has filed complaint just to get the information and now she requires the information.

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed of** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum- DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) : Copy to : DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

– for taking further necessary action.

Shri Gurdeep Singh Kahlon,
S/o Shri Boorh Singh,
C/o Kahlon Association,
Opp. Gurudwara Shri Kutia Sahib,
Jamalpur, Chandigarh Road,
Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 680 of 2021

Present:- Shri Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, complainant/applicant is absent.
ASI Tarsem Lal is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Police Commissioner,
Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Gurdeep Singh Kahlon, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 03.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 03.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present.

The complainant has filed complaint and he stated that he has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he requested that he has filed complaint just to get the information and now he requires the information.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum- Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

Complaint Case No. 680 of 2021

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed of** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) : Copy to : Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

– for taking further necessary action.

Shri Baldev Singh,
S/o Shri Baaj Singh,
Village Sathiali, P.O. Naino Kot,
Distt. Gurdaspur.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Kahnuwan,
Distt. Gurdaspur.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 688 of 2021

Present:- Shri Baldev Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Kahnuwan, Distt. Gurdaspur.

O R D E R

Shri Baldev Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 12.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Kahnuwan, Distt. Gurdaspur. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 25.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

The complainant has filed complaint and he stated that he has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he requested that he has filed complaint just to get the information and now he requires the information.

Complaint Case No. 688 of 2021

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

(Regd.) : Copy to : Distt. Development and Panchayat Officer, Gurdaspur.

– for taking further necessary action.



Smt. Kailash Rani,
Science Mistress,
H.No. 3176, Sector 19-D,
Chandigarh.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Director (SE),
Punjab School Education Board Complex,
Sector 62, SAS Nagar, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 690 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant is absent.
Dr. Kulwinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali is present.

ORDER

Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Asstt. Director (SE), Punjab School Education Board Complex, Sector 62, SAS Nagar, Mohali. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO then she filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.06.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent SPIO is present and she stated that the information cannot be provided to the applicant as the matter is sub-judice before the Hon'ble Court and reply regarding this has already been sent to the applicant vide letter dated 20.05.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 13.08.2021, diarized vide no.18036, which is a copy of letter dated 09.08.2021 along with a copy of letter dated 20.05.2021. I have gone through the said letters.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

Complaint Case No. 690 of 2021

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

The complainant has filed complaint and she stated that she has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to her by the SPIO and requested that she has filed complaint just to get the information and now she requires the information.

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed of** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum- DPI (SE), Punjab, SAS Nagar on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) : Copy to : DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

– for taking further necessary action.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Er. Arun Garg,
S/o Shri Sham Lal,
H.No. 40-41, Central Town,
Village Daad, P.O. Lalton Kalan,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Sadar, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 804 of 2020

Present:- Er. Arun Garg, complainant/applicant is absent.
ASI Harmesh Singh is present on behalf of Inspector Jagdev Singh, SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Sadar, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Er. Arun Garg, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.09.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Sadar, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the applicant by post today itself.

The appellant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission on 17.09.2021 and submitted that an incorrect, incomplete and misleading/vague reply/information has been provided by the respondent.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

The complainant has filed complaint and he stated that he has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to him by the SPIO

and he requested that he has filed complaint just to get the information and now he require the information.

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed of** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) : Copy to : Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

– for taking further necessary action.



Shri Lal Chand Virhe,
S/o Shri Chaman Lal Virhe,
R/o Village Garhi Sheru,
Rahon Road, P.O. Mattewara,
Via Basti Jodhewal,
Tehsil & Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o S.H.O., Police Station,
Meharban, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3363 of 2020

Present:- Shri Lal Chand Virhe, appellant is present.
ASI Gurmeet Singh, Incharge, Police Chowki, Mattewala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Lal Chand Virhe, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o S.H.O., Police Station, Meharban, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

ASI Gurmeet Singh, Incharge, Police Chowki, Mattewala stated that about 300 pages of attested copies of sought for information has been provided to the appellant.

The appellant, Shri Lal Chand Virhe stated that he has received the information provided by the respondent, but still some documents are pending.

The concerned respondent is directed that in case some documents are still to be provided to the appellant that be provided to the appellant within 3 days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Sushil Kumar,
1410, Phase-1, Urban Estate,
Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 475 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sushil Kumar, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Sushil Kumar, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 26.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent today.

The complainant-applicant stated that in compliance to the Order dated 18.08.2021 he has visited the office of the SPIO on 20.08.2021, but no information has been provided to him.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

The complainant has filed complaint and he stated that he has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he requested that he has filed complaint just to get the information and now he require the information.

Complaint Case No. 475 of 2021

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed of** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

(Regd.) : Copy to : Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

– for taking further necessary action.



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4570 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the order dated 01.09.2021 and the appellant was directed to provide the number and names of ten Trucks owners within five days to the SPIO and the SPIO was further directed that in case the appellant submits the list then provide him the sought for information of the ten vehicles for which he will submit the registration number and names of the owners and the appellant was afforded a last and final opportunity and it was directed that in case he would not submit the list then the case will be disposed of on the next date of hearing.

Today Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Transport Officer, Patiala has come personally present and he has submitted a letter bearing no. 3254 dated 22.09.2021, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission and he has not submitted the list as was directed on the last date of hearing. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the valuable time of public authority is being wasted by filing the vague applications without mentioning the registration number of the vehicles. The public authority is ready to provide the information if the information seeks the specific information which is allowed under the Right to Information Act.

Mr. Bhupinder Punj appeared through Webex and he stated that he could not collect the names of the owners of the vehicles and the number of vehicles and he again requested for some time. At this, the SPIO objected the request of the appellant that he is trying to waste the valuable time of the Commission and the public authority.

Appeal Case No. 4570 of 2019

I have perused the case file and also heard both the parties. The appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission, whereas he has been given a lot of time. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant will be at liberty to file fresh RTI application by mentioning the specific registration number of the vehicles under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4571 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the order dated 01.09.2021 and the appellant was directed to provide the number and names of ten Trucks owners within five days to the SPIO and the SPIO was further directed that in case the appellant submits the list then provide him the sought for information of the ten vehicles for which he will submit the registration number and names of the owners and the appellant was afforded a last and final opportunity and it was directed that in case he would not submit the list then the case will be disposed of on the next date of hearing.

Today Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Transport Officer, Patiala has come personally present and he has submitted a letter bearing no. 3254 dated 22.09.2021, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission and he has not submitted the list as was directed on the last date of hearing. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the valuable time of public authority is being wasted by filing the vague applications without mentioning the registration number of the vehicles. The public authority is ready to provide the information if the information seeks the specific information which is allowed under the Right to Information Act.

Mr. Bhupinder Punj appeared through Webex and he stated that he could not collect the names of the owners of the vehicles and the number of vehicles and he again requested for some time. At this, the SPIO objected the request of the appellant that he is trying to waste the valuable time of the Commission and the public authority.

I have perused the case file and also heard both the parties. The appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission, whereas he has been given a lot of time. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant will be at liberty to file fresh RTI application by mentioning the specific registration number of the vehicles under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4572 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the order dated 01.09.2021 and the appellant was directed to provide the number and names of ten Trucks owners within five days to the SPIO and the SPIO was further directed that in case the appellant submits the list then provide him the sought for information of the ten vehicles for which he will submit the registration number and names of the owners and the appellant was afforded a last and final opportunity and it was directed that in case he would not submit the list then the case will be disposed of on the next date of hearing.

Today Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Transport Officer, Patiala has come personally present and he has submitted a letter bearing no. 3254 dated 22.09.2021, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission and he has not submitted the list as was directed on the last date of hearing. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the valuable time of public authority is being wasted by filing the vague applications without mentioning the registration number of the vehicles. The public authority is ready to provide the information if the information seeks the specific information which is allowed under the Right to Information Act.

Mr. Bhupinder Punj appeared through Webex and he stated that he could not collect the names of the owners of the vehicles and the number of vehicles and he again requested for some time. At this, the SPIO objected the request of the appellant that he is trying to waste the valuable time of the Commission and the public authority.

I have perused the case file and also heard both the parties. The appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission, whereas he has been given a lot of time. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant will be at liberty to file fresh RTI application by mentioning the specific registration number of the vehicles under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 177-78, Sector 17-C, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4573 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the order dated 01.09.2021 and the appellant was directed to provide the number and names of ten Trucks owners within five days to the SPIO and the SPIO was further directed that in case the appellant submits the list then provide him the sought for information of the ten vehicles for which he will submit the registration number and names of the owners and the appellant was afforded a last and final opportunity and it was directed that in case he would not submit the list then the case will be disposed of on the next date of hearing.

Today Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Transport Officer, Patiala has come personally present and he has submitted a letter bearing no. 3254 dated 22.09.2021, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission and he has not submitted the list as was directed on the last date of hearing. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the valuable time of public authority is being wasted by filing the vague applications without mentioning the registration number of the vehicles. The public authority is ready to provide the information if the information seeks the specific information which is allowed under the Right to Information Act.

Mr. Bhupinder Punj appeared through Webex and he stated that he could not collect the names of the owners of the vehicles and the number of vehicles and he again requested for some time. At this, the SPIO objected the request of the appellant that he is trying to waste the valuable time of the Commission and the public authority.

I have perused the case file and also heard both the parties. The appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission, whereas he has been given a lot of time. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant will be at liberty to file fresh RTI application by mentioning the specific registration number of the vehicles under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bhupinder Punj, (M: 99152-35055),
R/o 186, Village Luhara,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab,
SCO 172-73, Sector 17-C,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3776 of 2019

Present:- Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant is present.
Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Assistant Transport Officer, O/o Secretary, RTA,
Patiala is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhupinder Punj, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.06.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Secretary, RTA, Patiala.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the order dated 01.09.2021 and the appellant was directed to provide the number and names of ten Trucks owners within five days to the SPIO and the SPIO was further directed that in case the appellant submits the list then provide him the sought for information of the ten vehicles for which he will submit the registration number and names of the owners and the appellant was afforded a last and final opportunity and it was directed that in case he would not submit the list then the case will be disposed of on the next date of hearing.

Today Shri Sham Lal, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Transport Officer, Patiala has come personally present and he has submitted a letter bearing no. 3254 dated 22.09.2021, which is taken in the file of the Commission. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission and he has not submitted the list as was directed on the last date of hearing. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the valuable time of public authority is being wasted by filing the vague applications without mentioning the registration number of the vehicles. The public authority is ready to provide the information if the information seeks the specific information which is allowed under the Right to Information Act.

Mr. Bhupinder Punj appeared through Webex and he stated that he could not collect the names of the owners of the vehicles and the number of vehicles and he again requested for some time. At this, the SPIO objected the request of the appellant that he is trying to waste the valuable time of the Commission and the public authority.

Contd..p2..

Appeal Case No. 3776 of 2019

I have perused the case file and also heard both the parties. The appellant has not complied with the order of the Commission, whereas he has been given a lot of time. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that the appellant will be at liberty to file fresh RTI application by mentioning the specific registration number of the vehicles under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 23.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Kimti Lal Kashyap,
S/o Sh. Krishan Lal Kashyap
R/o H.Nol. 12, Teacher Colony, (Shanti Nagar),
Nawanshahar.

Complainant.

Versus

(Regd.) Public Information Officer
O/o District Food Supply Controller,
SBS Nagar.

Respondent

Complaint Case No. 558 of 2020

Present:- Shri Kimti Lal Kashyap, complainant/applicant is absent.
Sh. Ravinder Singh, Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o District
Food Supply Controller, SBS Nagar.

ORDER

Shri Kimti Lal Kashyap, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated
17.06.2020 before the Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present
and he stated that the sought for information has already been sent to the applicant through
registered post on 22.10.2020 vide letter no. 3157 dated 19.10.2020.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 15.09.2021, diarized
vide no. 21009, which is a copy of letter no. 3328 dated 10.09.2021 along with a copy of letter
no. 3157 dated 19.10.2020 and a photo copy of postal receipt dated 22.10.2020.

I have gone through letter no. 3328 dated 10.09.2021 and it is submitted by the
SPIO that the sought for information has already been sent to the applicant through registered
post on 22.10.2020 vide letter no. 3157 dated 19.10.2020.

A show cause **notice** was issued to the SPIO, O/o District Food Supply
Controller, SBS Nagar vide order dated 17.08.2021 and he was directed to file reply to the show
cause notice.

The SPIO has sent reply to the show cause notice vide letter no. 3342 dated
10.09.2021. I have gone through the contents of the reply and it is submitted by the SPIO that
the sought for information has already been sent to the applicant through registered post on
22.10.2020 vide letter no. 3157 dated 19.10.2020. The SPIO further requested to drop the
show cause.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant on
22.10.2020, so there is no delay on the part of the SPIO, hence the show cause notice issued to
the SPIO is dropped and filed. As the information has already been sent to the applicant by the
SPIO, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harjeet Singh, S/o Shri Balbir Singh,
133, Urban Estate,
Ram Tirath Road,
Amritsar.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Engineer,
Panchayati Raj, PWD,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 390 of 2021

Present:- Shri Harjeet Singh, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO-cum-XEN (Elect.), O/o Chief Engineer,
Panchayati Raj, PWD, Mohali is present.

O R D E R

Shri Harjeet Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 18.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Chief Engineer, Panchayati Raj, PWD, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information. Since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provisions of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

An alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal is available to the complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 which has not been availed in the instant case. The matter is remanded to the First Appellate Authority to take appropriate decision after hearing both the parties and examining the record brought on file.

The parties shall appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Chief Engineer, Panchayati Raj, PWD, Mohali on 09.11.2021 at 11:00 A.M. who will decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed timeline, after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned by passing a speaking order.

The complainant has filed complaint and he stated that he has filed RTI application for seeking information and no information has been provided to him by the SPIO and he requested that he has filed complaint just to get the information and now he require the information.

Complaint Case No. 390 of 2021

So, as per the circumstances, the complaint does not lie and is **disposed of** with the direction that the applicant will appear before the First Appellate Authority-cum-Chief Engineer, Panchayati Raj, PWD, Mohali on 09.11.2021 and the First Appellate Authority should resolve the matter preferable within 15 days and pass a speaking order.

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) : Copy to : Chief Engineer, Panchayati Raj, PWD, Mohali.

– for taking further necessary action.



Smt. Kailash Rani,
H.No. 3176, Sector 19-D,
Chandigarh.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o DPI (SE), Punjab,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 392 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant is absent.
Dr. Kulwinder Kaur, SPIO-cum-Asstt. Director, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali is Present.

ORDER

Smt. Kailash Rani, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent SPIO is present and she stated that in compliance to the Order dated 07.09.2021, the attested copies of 79 pages of information has been provided by hand to the applicant on 22.09.2021 vide letter no. 2021326610.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 2021326610 dated 22.09.2021 vide which 79 pages of information has been provided to the applicant and the applicant has made a duly signed noting on the said letter after receiving the information.

As the SPIO has provided 79 pages of information to the applicant and has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Harvinder Singh,
S/o Shri Mahinder Singh,
H.No. 5330, Gali No.2,
New Shiva Ji Nagar,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Div. No. 3,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SHO, Div. No. 3,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1859 of 2021

Present:- Shri Harvinder Singh, appellant is absent.
ASI Nirmal Singh-474/LDH present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Div. No. 3,
Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Harvinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 23.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SHO, Div. No. 3, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 10.08.2021 passed by the Commission the attested copies of 16 pages of information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 02.09.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.316 dated 06.09.2021 along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 02.09.2021.

I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the attested copies of 16 pages of information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 02.09.2021.

The SPIO has sent the attested copies of 16 pages of information to the appellant through registered post on 02.09.2021 and a period of about three weeks has been passed, but the appellant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the deficiencies in the information and neither he has attended the hearing today, it means he is satisfied with the information sent by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 23.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.